

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL TO:

McCarthy and Zimel. S Afr J Sci. 2020;116(7/8), Art. #5963, 7 pages.

HOW TO CITE:

McCarthy RC, Zimel E. Revised estimates of Taung's brain size growth [supplementary material]. S Afr J Sci. 2020;116(7/8), Art. #5963, 6 pages. <https://doi.org/10.17159/sajs.2020/5963/suppl>

R code used to fit nonlinear asymptotic growth models, estimate cranial capacities for given Taung starting ages, and increase Taung juvenile cranial capacity estimates to generate adult estimates:

```
# Plot the data:
# For xlim, change 2nd number to max age +2 (to make it look
# nice). For ylim, set to a value above the maximum ECV value
# in the dataset. Currently configured for gorillas.
plot(data, xlab = "age", ylab = "ECV", xaxs = "i", yaxs = "i",
      xlim = c(-2, 42),
      ylim = c(0, 600), main = "ECV during ontogeny" # or whatever else you
      want the title to be

# Fit an initial curve:
xfit <- seq(min(-5), max(data$age) * 1.1, length.out = 100)

# Self-starting standard asymptotic regression model (with a vertical offset):
print(getInitial(ECV ~ SSasymp(age, Asym, R0, lrc), data = data))
nlsfit1 <- nls(ECV ~ SSasymp(age, Asym, R0, lrc), data = data)
summary(nlsfit1)
coef(nlsfit1)
logLik(nlsfit1)
yfit <- predict(nlsfit1, list(age = xfit))
lines(xfit, yfit, col = "blue", lty = 3, lwd = 3)

# Here is code to read x for a given value of y. To use this,
# you could either input a value, or input a value times a
# percentage, as demonstrated below for 90%:
# Use the model to predict the expected age value for a particular ECV
```

```

given.ECV <- (404*0.9)
coefs <- coef(nlsfit1)
pred.age <- -log((given.ECV - coefs[1]) / (coefs[2] - coefs[1])) / exp(coefs[3])
print(pred.age)

# Code to add a vertical line at a particular age:
abline(v=pred.age) # Add vertical line

# Automate the above function:
# Set starting ages for Taung adult estimates:
Taung.age <- c(3.73, 3.83, 3.93, 3.3, 3.5, 4.0, 4.5, 5.0,
              5.5, 6.0, 6.5, 7.0, 15)
Taung.cc <- c(404, 404, 404, 404, 404, 404, 404, 404, 404, 404, 404, 404, 404)

# Predict cranial capacity at starting age:
given.age <- Taung.age
pred.ECV <- predict(nlsfit1, list(age = given.age))
pred.ECV <- pred.ECV[1:length(Taung.age)]
pred.ECV

# Calculate % changes and estimate Taung adult values:
Taung.percent_changes <- (pred.ECV[13]-pred.ECV)/pred.ECV
Taung.adult.values <- (Taung.cc * Taung.percent_changes)+Taung.cc

# Wrap the above up as a dataset:
a <- cbind(Taung.age, Taung.percent_changes, Taung.adult.values)
print(a)

# For more information about SSasymp, see Pinheiro and Bates1.

```

Table 1: Adult cranial capacity estimates (cm³) for different juvenile cranial capacities at a starting age of 3.83 years

Starting value (cm ³)	Gorilla ²		Chimp ^{3,4}		Human ^{2,5}		Range of estimates
	M	F	M	F	M	F	
382 ⁶	382	391	384	383	405	401	382–405
402 ⁷	402	411	404	403	426	421	402–426
404 ⁷	404	413	406	405	428	424	404–428
405 ⁷	405	414	407	406	429	425	405–429
407 ⁷	407	416	409	408	432	427	407–432
410 ⁷	410	419	412	411	435	430	410–435
450 ⁸	450	460	452	451	477	472	450–477
452	452	462	454	453	479	474	452–479
494 ^{Table 1}	494	505	496	495	524	518	494–524
500 ⁹	500	511	502	501	530	524	500–530
520 ¹⁰	520	532	522	521	551	545	520–551

Table 2: Growth percentages and adult cranial capacity estimates for a juvenile starting cranial capacity of 404 cm³ estimated using combined-sex growth models at different starting ages

Starting age (years)	Gorilla ²		Chimpanzee ^{3,4}		Human ^{2,5}	
	%	Estimate (cm ³)	%	Estimate (cm ³)	%	Estimate (cm ³)
3.3 ¹¹⁻¹³	3.7034	419	0.5360	406	8.7341	439
3.5 ^{14,15}	3.1874	417	0.4019	406	7.5577	435
4.0 ^{16,17}	2.1966	413	0.1960	405	5.2954	425
4.5 ¹⁸	1.5184	410	0.0956	404	3.7338	419
5.0 ^{18,19}	1.0517	408	0.0467	404	2.6443	415
5.5 ^{18,19}	0.7295	407	0.0228	404	1.8784	412
6.0 ^{18,19}	0.5065	406	0.0111	404	1.3373	409
6.5 ^{18,19}	0.3519	405	0.0054	404	0.9535	408
7.0 ^{18,19}	0.2446	405	0.0027	404	0.6806	407

Table 3: Means, standard deviations (s.d.), ranges, and coefficients of variation (CV) for historical estimates of *A. africanus* cranial capacity with differing values for Taung included in the fossil sample

Study	Year	Taung estimate	n	Mean	s.d.	Range	CV (%) ^a
Schepers	1946 ²⁰	500/520 ^{b,21}	3	505.0	63.84	85	12.6
Broom and Robinson	1948 ²²	600+	5 ^c	549.0	82.90	185	15.1
Ashton	1950 ²³	500 ^{b,24}	6	529.2	72.28	215	13.7
Schepers	1950 ²⁵	650	6	528.3	70.83	200	13.4
Tobias	1963 ²⁶	600	6	504.0	56.25	165	11.2
Tobias	1965 ²⁷	562	7	502.0	47.00 ^d	127	9.4
Robinson	1966 ²⁸	?	6	430.0	–	250 ^e	–
Tobias	1967 ²⁹ , 1991 ³⁰	562	6	497.8	44.14	127	8.9
Holloway	1970 ³¹	440	6	442.0	21.59	57	4.9
Tobias	1971 ³²	540	6	494.2	38.26	105	7.7
Holloway	1973 ³³ , 1983 ^{34,35} , 1996 ³⁶	440	7 ^f	450.3	29.48	72	6.6
Falk	1987 ³⁷	412	5	440.0	31.51	73	7.2
Conroy et al.; Tobias	1990 ³⁸ , 1994 ³⁹	440	6	440.3	22.60	60	5.1
Conroy et al.	1998 ⁴⁰	440	7	440.3	35.00	90	7.8
Lockwood and Kimbel	1998 ⁴¹	440	7	464.6	62.89	172	13.5
Conroy et al.	2000 ⁴²	431 ^g	7	449.7	35.59	90	7.9
Conroy et al.	2000 ⁴²	422 ^h	7	448.4	36.53	93	8.1
Conroy et al.	2000 ⁴²	455 ⁱ	7	453.1	34.63	90	7.6
Falk et al.	2000 ⁴³	440	7	451.0	34.96	90	7.8
Holloway et al.	2004 ⁴⁴	440	9	460.7	47.93	155	10.4
Holloway et al.; Holloway	2008 ⁴⁵ , 2009 ⁴⁶	440	9	462.3	49.18 ^j	160	10.7 ^j
Carlson et al.	2011 ⁴⁷	440 ^k	8	459.4	37.70	90	8.2
Neubauer et al.	2012 ⁴⁸	428	6	452.3	63.29	177	14.0
Schoenemann	2013 ⁴⁹	461	9	461.2	49.18	160	10.7
Beaudet et al.	2019 ²⁴	431	5	457.0	36.91	94	8.1
This study	2020	405–406	10	445.8	60.36	205	13.5
This study (-Sts 25)	2020	405–406	9	454.9	56.10	177 ^l	12.3
This study (most complete crania)	2020	405–406	6	448.6	65.64	177 ^l	14.6

^aCV values recalculated from original data when available without correction for small sample sizes (in order to maintain strict comparability between values)

^bDid not attempt adult estimate

^cIncluded an estimate for cranial capacity from Sts 7, a mandible

^dCalculated estimate of population standard deviation

^eCalculated as 2.5x the standard deviation; raw data not available for recalculation

^fIncluding MLD 1 in *A. africanus*, which Holloway considered to be indeterminate taxonomically

^g405 cm³ increased to approximate 94% growth completion based on chimpanzee combined-sex growth curve

^h405 cm³ increased to approximate 94% growth completion based on chimpanzee female growth curve

ⁱ405 cm³ increased to approximate 94% growth completion based on chimpanzee male growth curve

^jNot presented in original papers—reconstructed from individual values for fossil endocasts

^kCarlson et al.⁴⁷ note an adult value of 406 cm³ for Taung in the text, but recalculation based on the specimens listed and their sources indicate that they used a value of 440 cm³ for Taung.

^lSmallest and largest cranial capacity values are both from Neubauer et al.⁴⁸

References

1. Pinheiro J, Bates D. Mixed-effects models in S and S-PLUS. New York: Springer Statistics and Computing; 2000. Available from: <https://rdr.io/r/stats/SSasymp.html>
2. McFarlin SC, Barks SK, Tocheri MW, Massey JS, Eriksen AB, Fawcett KA, et al. Early brain growth cessation in wild Virunga mountain gorillas (*Gorilla beringei beringei*). *Am J Primatol.* 2013;75:450–463. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.22100>
3. Herndon JG, Tigges J, Anderson DC, Klumpp SC, McClure HM. Brain weights throughout the lifespan of the chimpanzee. *J Comp Neurol.* 1999;409:567–579. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9861\(19990712\)409:4%3C567::AID-CNE4%3E3.0.CO;2-J](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19990712)409:4%3C567::AID-CNE4%3E3.0.CO;2-J)
4. DeSilva JM, Lesnick JM. Chimpanzee neonatal brain size: Implications for brain growth in *Homo erectus*. *J Hum Evol.* 2006;51:207–212. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2006.05.006>
5. Marchand F. Ueber das Hirngewicht des Menschen [About human brain weight]. Leipzig: B.G. Teubner; 1902. German.
6. Falk D, Clarke R. Brief communication: New reconstruction of the Taung endocast. *Am J Phys Anthropol.* 2007;134:529–534. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.20697>
7. Holloway RL. Australopithecine endocast (Taung specimen, 1924): A new volume determination. *Science.* 1970;168:966–968. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.168.3934.966>
8. Keith A. The fossil anthropoid ape from Taungs. *Nature.* 1925;115:234–235. <https://doi.org/10.1038/115234a0>
9. Zuckerman S. Age changes in the chimpanzee, with special reference to growth of brain, eruption of teeth, and estimation of age; with a note on the Taungs ape. *Proc Zool Soc Lond.* 1928;1:1–142. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.1928.tb07138.x>
10. Dart RA. Taungs and its significance. *Nat Hist.* 1926;26:315–327.
11. Bromage T. Taung facial remodeling: A growth and development study. In: Tobias PV, editor. *Hominid evolution: Past, present, and future*. New York: Alan R. Liss; 1985. p. 239–245.
12. Bromage T. Ontogeny of the early hominid face. *J Hum Evol.* 1989;18:751–773. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0047-2484\(89\)90088-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0047-2484(89)90088-2)
13. Bromage TG, Dean MC. Re-evaluation of the age at death of immature fossil hominids. *Nature.* 1985;317:525–527. <https://doi.org/10.1038/317525a0>
14. Conroy GC, Vannier MW. Dental development of the Taung skull from computerized tomography. *Nature.* 1987;329:625–627. <https://doi.org/10.1038/329625a0>
15. Conroy GC, Vannier MW. Dental development in South African australopithecines. Part II: Dental stage assessments. *Am J Phys Anthropol.* 1991;86:37–156. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.1330860205>
16. Keith A. The fossil anthropoid ape from Taungs. *Nature.* 1925;115:234–235. <https://doi.org/10.1038/115234a0>
17. Biggerstaff RH. Time-trimmers for the Taungs child, or How old is '*Australopithecus africanus*'? *Am Anthropol.* 1967;69:217–220. <https://doi.org/10.1525/aa.1967.69.2.02a00110>
18. Wolpoff MH, Monge JM, Lampl M. Was Taung human or an ape? *Nature.* 1988;335:501. <https://doi.org/10.1038/335501a0>
19. Mann AE. *Paleodemographic aspects of the South African australopithecines*. Philadelphia, PA: University of Pennsylvania; 1975.
20. Schepers GWH. The endocranial casts of the South African ape-men. In: Broom R, Schepers GWH, editors. *The South African fossil ape-men, the Australopithecinae*. Transv Mus Mem 2. Johannesburg: Voortrekkerpers; 1946. p. 155–272.
21. Tobias PV. *The brain in hominid evolution*. New York: Columbia University Press; 1971. <https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.15880>
22. Broom R, Robinson JT. Size of the brain in the ape-man, *Plesianthropus*. *Nature.* 1948;161:438. <https://doi.org/10.1038/161438a0>
23. Ashton EH. The endocranial capacities of the Australopithecinae. *Proc Zool Soc Lond.* 1950;120:715–721. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.1951.tb00675.x>
24. Beaudet A, Clarke RJ, De Jager EJ, Bruxelles L, Carlson KJ, Crompton R, et al. The endocast of StW 573 ('Little Foot') and hominin brain evolution. *J Hum Evol.* 2019;126:112–123. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2018.11.009>
25. Schepers GWH. The brain casts of the recently discovered *Plesianthropus* skulls. In: Broom R, Robinson JT, Schepers GWH. *Sterkfontein ape-man, Plesianthropus*. Part II. The brain casts of the recently discovered *Plesianthropus* skulls. Transv Mus Mem 4. Johannesburg: Voortrekkerpers; 1950.
26. Tobias PV. Cranial capacity of *Zinjanthropus* and other australopithecines. *Nature.* 1963;197:743–746. <https://doi.org/10.1038/197743a0>

27. Tobias PV. Reply to R.L. Holloway: Cranial capacity of the hominine from Olduvai Bed I. *Nature*. 1965;208:206. <https://doi.org/10.1038/208206a0>
28. Robinson JT. The distinctiveness of *Homo habilis*. *Nature*. 1966;209:957–960. <https://doi.org/10.1038/209957a0>
29. Tobias PV. Olduvai Gorge. Vol II. The cranium and maxillary dentition of *Australopithecus (Zinjanthropus) boisei*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1967.
30. Tobias PV. The skulls, endocasts and teeth of *Homo habilis*. Olduvai Gorge. Volume IV. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1991.
31. Holloway RL. New endocranial values for the australopithecines. *Nature*. 1970;227:199–200. <https://doi.org/10.1038/227199a0>
32. Tobias PV. The brain in hominid evolution. New York: Columbia University Press; 1971. <https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.15880>
33. Holloway RL. Endocranial volumes of early African hominids, and the role of the brain in human mosaic evolution. *J Hum Evol*. 1973;2:449–459. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0047-2484\(73\)90123-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0047-2484(73)90123-1)
34. Holloway RL. Human brain evolution: A search for units, models and synthesis. *Can J Anthropol*. 1983;3:215–230.
35. Holloway RL. Human paleontological evidence relevant to language behavior. *Hum Neurobiol*. 1983;2:105–114.
36. Holloway RL. Evolution of the human brain. In: Lock A, Peters CR, editors. *Handbook of human symbolic evolution*. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1996. p. 74–116.
37. Falk D. Hominid paleoneurology. *Ann Rev Anthropol*. 1987;16:13–30. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.an.16.100187.000305>
38. Conroy GC, Vannier MW, Tobias PV. Endocranial features of *Australopithecus africanus* revealed by 2- and 3-D computed tomography. *Science*. 1990;247:838–841. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.2305255>
39. Tobias PV. The craniocerebral interface in early hominids: Cerebral impressions, cranial thickening, paleoneurobiology, and a new hypothesis on encephalization. In: Corruccini RS, Ciochon RL, editors. *Integrative paths to the past: Paleoanthropological advances in honor of F. Clark Howell*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall; 1994. p. 185–204.
40. Conroy GC, Weber GW, Seidler H, Tobias PV, Kane A, Brunnsden B. Endocranial capacity in an early hominid cranium from Sterkfontein, South Africa. *Science*. 1998;280:1730–1731. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.280.5370.1730>
41. Lockwood CA, Kimbel WH. Endocranial capacity of early hominids. *Science*. 1999;283:9b. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.283.5398.9b>
42. Conroy GC, Falk D, Guyer J, Weber GW, Seidler H, Recheis W. Endocranial capacity in Sts 71 (*Australopithecus africanus*) by three-dimensional computed tomography. *Anat Rec*. 2000;258:391–396. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0185\(20000401\)258:4%3C391::AID-AR7%3E3.0.CO;2-R](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0185(20000401)258:4%3C391::AID-AR7%3E3.0.CO;2-R)
43. Falk D, Redmond JC Jr., Guyer J, Conroy GC, Recheis W, Weber GW, et al. Early hominid brain evolution: A new look at old endocasts. *J Hum Evol*. 2000;38:695–717. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jhev.1999.0378>
44. Holloway RL, Broadfield DC, Yuan MS. The human fossil record. Volume 3. Brain endocasts – The paleoneurological evidence. New York: John Wiley and Sons; 2004. <https://doi.org/10.1002/0471663573>
45. Holloway RL, Sherwood CC, Hof PR, Rilling JK. Evolution of the brain in humans – Paleoneurology. In: Binder MD, Hirokawa N, Windhorst U, editors. *Encyclopedia Neurosci*. Volume 1. New York: Springer-Verlag; 2008. p. 1326–1334. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-29678-2_3152
46. Holloway RL. Brain fossils: Endocasts. *Encycl Neurosci*. 2009;2:353–361. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-008045046-9.00941-4>
47. Carlson KJ, Stout D, Jashashvili T, De Ruiter DJ, Tafforeau P, Carlson K, et al. The endocast of MH1, *Australopithecus sediba*. *Science*. 2011;333:1402–1407. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1203922>
48. Neubauer S, Gunz P, Weber GH, Hublin J-J. Endocranial volume of *Australopithecus africanus*: New CT-based estimates and the effects of missing data and small sample size. *J Hum Evol*. 2012;62:498–510. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2012.01.005>
49. Schoenemann T. Hominid brain evolution. In: Lock A, Peters CR, editors. *A companion to paleoanthropology*. West Sussex, UK: Wiley-Blackwell; 2013. p. 136–164. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118332344.ch8>