The value of multibeam bathymetry in marine spatial planning in South Africa: A review

Given a growing global population and shift to embrace the blue economy, a need for marine spatial planning (MSP) has emerged in South Africa to sustainably resolve the rising conflicts over the use of marine and seabed resources and services. A well-developed marine spatial plan yields numerous ecological, social and economic benefits. These are achieved through mediating between spatially conflicting economic drivers’ interests (e.g. commercial fishing, tourism, mining), preventing their activities from compromising thresholds of an environment’s sustainability. Within the MSP framework, high-resolution geospatial datasets are required to document and describe the seabed in the highest possible detail. At any scale, integrated analysis of seabed geomorphology and habitats is anticipated to greatly improve the understanding of ecosystem functioning from a multidisciplinary perspective, whilst improving MSP procedures and management of marine space. South Africa is the first of few African countries to have an approved and implemented MSP framework, but is still somewhat behind globally in implementing large-scale regional hydroacoustic surveys to cover the country’s vast offshore territory. The deficiency of hydroacoustic surveys is perhaps due to a relative lack of funds and poor communication about the value of multibeam echo-sounder (MBES) derived data, whilst marine geoscience remains a scarce skill in the country. This review paper presents a geological perspective of MSP and explores (1) the value that seabed mapping offers MSP specifically and (2) the need to increase seabed mapping with MBES, using a recently initiated project from the South African east coast as a case study.

Introduction

Marine spatial planning (MSP) is a process currently being employed on a global scale towards efficient management of the ocean space, and therefore the blue economy1,2 (i.e. sustainable exploitation, preservation and regeneration of the marine environment) and addressing the needs of growing global populations (e.g. Operation Phakisa3). Globally4-12 and locally, the introduction of an ecosystem-based MSP process towards management of the marine space is well supported.2,13-14 The decision-making process is guided by the quality of data on which it is based. At present, about 75 countries that have marine borders on all major oceans have commenced with MSP initiatives.14 Some of these countries (such as China15, Canada17,18, the United States of America’s California mapping programme19, Ireland20 and Australia21) have actively applied improved technology22,23 (e.g. multibeam echo-sounders/MBES) in benthic habitat mapping, which has led to effective MSP results. A case study from the long-established Irish programme INFOMAR (integrated mapping for the sustainable development of Ireland’s marine resource) showed investment benefits of seabed mapping initiative across all marine sectors to be 4–6-fold compared to the initial capital investment.24 In South Africa, the development of an MSP framework began in 201525, identifying spatial plans encompassed by the country’s exclusive economic zone (EEZ). This enabled South Africa to take the lead over other African countries by being the first to have the Department of Environmental Affairs (now known as the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment) draft an MSP legislation in 2017.4,15,25 The MSP process was last later approved by the government as Act No. 16 of 2018.14

Globally, there is a drive to protect the ocean’s biodiversity and/or ecosystems16-26; however, only 9% of the world’s seabed has been mapped to resolutions at an appropriate scale for MSP and management27,28. Marine protected areas (MPAs) are a significant component of the South African MSP process, and receive an elevated status and economic drivers’ interests (e.g. commercial fishing, tourism, mining), preventing their activities from compromising thresholds of an environment’s sustainability. Within the MSP framework, high-resolution geospatial datasets are required to document and describe the seabed in the highest possible detail. At any scale, integrated analysis of seabed geomorphology and habitats is anticipated to greatly improve the understanding of ecosystem functioning from a multidisciplinary perspective, whilst improving MSP procedures and management of marine space. South Africa is the first of few African countries to have an approved and implemented MSP framework, but is still somewhat behind globally in implementing large-scale regional hydroacoustic surveys to cover the country’s vast offshore territory. The deficiency of hydroacoustic surveys is perhaps due to a relative lack of funds and poor communication about the value of multibeam echo-sounder (MBES) derived data, whilst marine geoscience remains a scarce skill in the country. This review paper presents a geological perspective of MSP and explores (1) the value that seabed mapping offers MSP specifically and (2) the need to increase seabed mapping with MBES, using a recently initiated project from the South African east coast as a case study.

Significance:

The collected MBES data (our case study) provides unprecedented seabed detail of the complex reef habitat and adjacent areas within specific management zones of the uThukela Banks Marine Protected Area. We reveal seabed features and their spatial distribution at a scale not possible using earlier (singlebeam) seabed mapping techniques. These high-resolution data will enable a better understanding of east coast marine habitats whilst contributing to improved spatial management of areas within and around the uThukela Banks Marine Protected Area.
MBESs have rapidly become the preferred hydroacoustic surveying technique in marine habitat mapping for its capability to simultaneously and reliably obtain bathymetry and backscatter data. Multibeam echo-sounders and benthic habitat mapping

Within the South African context, there is increased interest in developing enhanced high-resolution seabed models. Recent marine geological mapping and marine research have presented a strong case for the possible role of MBES data in the realm of benthic habitat mapping. MBES provides the baseline data (bathymetry, backscatter, slope, etc.) from which the seabed and habitat maps can be derived and interpreted in conjunction with ground-truth data, thus providing a detailed bathymetric surface which can in turn be used for planning and decision-making. In addition, backscatter intensity data provide an indication of seabed character (cf. Montereale-Gavazzi et al.), discerning between sediment classes and consolidated seabed. The system frequencies are optimised for specific depth ranges and must be employed accordingly. MBES data can be processed to produce dataset derivatives (e.g. rugosity, slope, bathymetric position index and aspect maps), which offer enhanced and detailed properties of the substrate known to influence benthic diversity. Marine mapping efforts have begun to match in data quality and resolution those of terrestrial realms, and therefore are as informative as terrestrial topographic observations.

Methods

For this study, sites between Durban and Richards Bay were selected for MBES mapping based on (1) features identified on low-resolution regional datasets, and (2) position relative to the uThukela MPA management zones. Hydroacoustic surveys were carried out on the reefs and their adjacent areas in the 40–100 m depth range, depending on site location. The Geosciences and Mapping Platform (GeMap) provided by ACEP was used to acquire MBES data. The platform’s Seabat Reson 7101 MBES and SBG systems (Navig8 Apogee inertial navigation system) were used during data collection, whilst maintaining on average a ±10% overlap between the adjacent Survey lines to increase data integrity at the swath edges. Sound velocity profiles were collected periodically throughout the day, monitored at <3 m/s difference between the profile velocity from the live sound velocity. Raw data were processed using HYDAPACK (2022) and Ginerita (v. 3.0.9596) to produce gridded (3 m cells) digital terrain models of the seabed. Golden Software Surfer (v. 23.3.202) and ESRI ArcMap 10.1 were used to visualise and interpret data and derivatives.

Case study: The uThukela Banks Marine Protected Area

The uThukela Banks MPA (5666 km²; Figure 1) proclaimed in 2019 is situated on South Africa’s wave-dominated east coast continental shelf. This MPA is subdivided into zones with varying degrees of accessibility and/or protection from human pressure (Figure 1) to regulate the declining continental shelf biodiversity. The uThukela River terrestrial sediments and associated offshore unconsolidated material deposits have actively shaped the eastern KwaZulu-Natal (KZN) continental shelf since the break-up of Gondwana. Further details of the shelf stratigraphy of the area have been documented by Hicks and Green (and references therein). This MPA has not received much attention in terms of high-resolution MBES mapping despite encompassing an extensive subaqueous delta and multiple reef complexes noted for high biodiversity. Green et al. recently carried out an extensive MBES survey, providing new insights into higher-resolution geomorphology within the uThukela Banks MPA. This extensive survey showed the value of incorporating geological data into informing MPA management (and therefore, MSP). Prior to this, low-resolution bathymetry and regional sedimentary facies had been described from the region in 2007. A study in 2012 developed a systematic framework for assessment of biodiversity and marine biodiversity protection for KZN by recognising spatial priorities for sustainable conservation efforts (formerly known as the SeaPLAN project). However, this was based on relatively low-resolution bathymetry data in which the geomorphology is poorly resolved. The singlebeam echosounder (SBES) mapping efforts of De Wet and Compton (published in 2021) do span the uThukela MPA, but only contributes low-resolution and less-detailed bathymetry. To date, through the ACEP Smart Zones Project (unpublished data), 13 of 14 selected sites have been surveyed with MBES at an average coverage of 17 km² per site, providing ca. 230 km² of new MBES data over key localities within and adjacent to the uThukela MPA (Figure 1).

Results

With this case study, we focus on one of the surveyed ACEP Smart Zones MPA Project sites (at 40–70 m depth range; Figure 2) which shows a locally steeply inclined (13°) seaward slope. Compared to the SBES dataset (Inset A; Figure 2), we note that the new (MBES) data allow a precise distinction between sediment-starved areas from those adjacent zones (i.e. either rich in sediments and/or featureless seabed), and between different types of outcrop morphology. Areas adjacent to the outcrop likely represent unconsolidated sediments described by flat-lying sediments and bedforms. These large superimposed subaqueous dunes form continuous fields along the mid-shelf (Inset B; Figure 2). The sediment-starved areas are characterised by exposed reef. Reef geomorphology is variable with rugged reef pinnacles and ridges bordered by low-relief reefs fringed by adjacent relatively flat and/or featureless seabed. The reefs are commonly oriented approximately coast-parallel on the inner- to mid-shelf, representing submerged shorelines. Abrupt changes in depth of the seabed, as observed on the outer edges of the reefs (Figure 2), are recognised as prominent zones of overstepped submerged shorelines.

Discussion

Application of MBES to substrate analysis

The data example provided here underscores the distribution of reef and adjacent sediments (and/or featureless seabed) at this survey site. Reefs play an integral role in marine habitats as they are biodiversity hotspots on the continental shelf (e.g. Caribbean nations reefs) and are vulnerable to both anthropogenic and natural impacts. Thus reefs and organisms that inhabit them benefit from proclamation
of these localities as MPAs. Duran et al. previously reported that understanding the temporal and spatial variation of reef communities is of critical importance to monitoring stressors on health and ecosystem functioning (e.g. fishing) and global stressors (e.g. climate change). The high-relief reef (less vulnerable to inundation by sediments) could provide stable habitat for long-term inhabitants, whereas the low-relief reef (including the high-relief reef edges) preferentially hosts short-term inhabitants as it is more vulnerable to burial by the dynamic sediments (cf. Harman et al.). The interaction of the high-relief reef with the localised dynamic system induces turbulence, promoting the growth and density of substrate attached organisms, and therefore, creating accommodation for biodiversity abundance. This, therefore, emphasises how the seabed geomorphology is the fundamental building block to the systems that exist on and/or above its surface. The uThukela MPA case study demonstrates improved resolution detail of the reef in the dataset (Figure 2), which was previously not well represented from the lower-resolution datasets. The presence of bedforms marks a clear indication of current-driven sediment transport on the seabed, which will be further investigated in more detail at a later stage. Bedforms and their sediment dynamics have been studied from northern to southern KZN and are mostly considered the result of the poleward-flowing geostrophic Agulhas Current. These adjacent sediment-rich regions play a role in the broader ecosystem and habitat provision.

**MBES contribution towards MSP**

The improvements made to raw and primary data quality (reliability) impact the country’s MSP process and decision-making outcomes positively. MBES can be used for both short- and long-term monitoring of dynamic seabed sediment processes. This is vital in monitoring the spatial and temporal habitat-use compatibility, a potentially valuable contribution towards decision-making in regions of conflicting spatial interests between economic drivers. Through its use in monitoring the low-relief reef and its inhabitants, MBES data will prove critical,

---

**Figure 1:** Selected survey sites and their location relative to the uThukela Marine Protected Area (MPA) zones. Note: the mapped (unpublished) areas within the selected site blocks are highlighted.
as reef resilience requires withstanding press and/or pulse types of sedimentation (including in situ sedimentation) disturbances.76 The MBES data and its derivatives enable detailed seabed geomorphology to be modelled. The rugged geomorphological character of reefs could likely provide several biological niches for varying ecosystems.38

Gaps in current South African MSP in relation to geoscientific research

Recent global initiatives (e.g. the National Development Plan 2030 goals44) have encouraged a dedicated focus to elevate the significance of seabed mapping. Although detailed high-resolution data do exist, much of these data remain locked under commercial and military embargo, without public access. Restricted access to existing commercial data is acknowledged globally as a significant challenge.71 High-resolution mapping within South African MSP and therefore the ability to quantify the contribution of seabed type and characteristics in ocean system functions is scarce. Of the 41 MPAs within South Africa’s EEZ, none is covered entirely by MBES data, with relatively limited (or focused) coverage where data do exist (e.g. uThukela MPA34). However, demarcation based on rich biodiversity, even without marine geological context, is testament to the work of researchers from marine sub-disciplines (biological and physical oceanography), with qualitatively described marine habitats in the literature (cf. trait-based assessment by Ortega-Cineros et al.72). To date, our knowledge (from MBES) of the structural properties of the uThukela Banks MPA seabed is limited (e.g. focused multibeam bathymetry34). Given that South Africa’s MSP management framework is still in its early years of implementation, the current framework is likely subject to revision and fine-tuning for application in future marine spatial plans. The globally endorsed ecosystem-based MSP for management of the ocean space itself has often been problematic to translate into operational management and further enhance work already done in MSP.73

Reflections on the future direction of MSP from a geoscience perspective in South Africa

The growing world population, if left unchecked, threatens the replenishment and sustainability of marine natural resources.2 There is no better time to initiate planning for probable future conflicts than the present.74 It is critically important for South Africa to aim for growth towards effective marine spatial plans. Tasks suggested for future consideration include an expansion of mapping the seabed and defining habitat through this mapping to cover (most broadly) South Africa’s EEZ. Closer collaboration between government departments, research organisations and the private sector could be fostered to achieve an integrated goal (of multi-functional marine data), from the available national budget. The MSP process is rapid, output-oriented, and in many instances authority-driven (by various sectors), on a set budget and reliant on the quality of data input.12,75 These factors are anticipated to significantly contribute to heterogeneous approved, implemented and developed MSP initiatives. Improvements to the baseline primary data will ensure that these heterogeneous initiatives stem from detailed maps for ocean use and management (through the allocation of meaningful spatial boundaries).

Ehler76 proposed that by the year 2030, a third of the world’s EEZs will be covered by government-approved marine spatial plans. The mapping of South African marine geomorphology and habitats by MBES would contribute substantially to the goals of the National Development Plan.
20304 and global alignment (e.g. United Nations Sustainable Development Goals). In addition, it will contribute foundational knowledge as well as health monitoring systems within MSP77 for interested sectors. There is no best single method to perform strategic spatial planning16, and thus a variety of techniques and data sources are required. Hydroacoustic surveys are not without their challenges; equipment, including a suitable vessel, and software are costly, complex and require specialist installation and operation. Surveys are time consuming and are subject to suitable weather conditions. Hence, projects need to have appropriate budgets, personnel (scarce skills in South Africa) and time to generate bathymetric products (Phase 1) before any complementary fieldwork (Phase 2). Phase 2 efforts (bailed remote underwater video, remotely operated vehicles, sediment grabs, benthic sleds, etc.) would not only benefit in terms of site selection and sampling design using a digital terrain model and derivatives (rugosity) but also from the geospatial context of the larger study area. The ACEP-supported SMART ZONES MPA Project has been initiated to achieve this on a small scale, with mapping activities taking place across strategic reef sites within and adjacent to the uThukela MPA, followed by biological, oceanographic and remote-imagery sampling campaigns. For this project, the GeMaP based in KZN will provide access to high-resolution bathymetric mapping tools and vessels to collect essential bathymetry data, upon which biological and oceanographic sampling and modelling will be based.

Conclusion
Seabed composition and substrate structure have a significant impact on marine biological and oceanographic systems17, ranging from the role of a specific ecological niche to the general marine habitat describing a particular biome. This contribution demonstrates the effectiveness of using MBES in hydroacoustic surveys, where seabed features are resolved in much greater detail and accuracy whilst revealing new features and seabed interactions in higher detail than previously available techniques could achieve. Therefore, South African researchers, MSP practitioners and the government will greatly benefit in making better decisions when planning, monitoring and protecting such MPAs. Our case study shows the level of detail that can be achieved by mapping reef habitat and adjacent areas. Technological improvements of MBES are anticipated to greatly benefit South Africa’s marine management sector.

Hydroacoustic and bathymetric surveys are well known in marine geosciences; however, increased exposure to broader elements of marine science in general is encouraged to allow meaningful integration and holistic knowledge generation. Such integration is essential for MSP providing multi-functionality and data integrity.18 The surveys carried out in the uThukela MPA and neighbouring sites serve to highlight the insufficient detail in our knowledge of the present-day seabed bathymetry. These surveys provide a preview of the value MBES will add (including cross-discipline collaboration future developments) for the South African government and marine research practitioners within the EEZ. This will assist in the further progression of work already done in MSP, provided the required skillset and funds are available.
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