African science and global opinions

In late 2021, African scientists discovered a new variant of COVID-19. We should be proud and grateful, as should and is the world, to our scientists for identifying the latest variant of COVID and for choosing, correctly, to communicate this scientific information rapidly. But this important discovery led to global action not based on science. Wealthier countries closed their doors to our country and continent, implementing a travel ban. This reaction was despite the views of scientists in South Africa and recommendations from the World Health Organization. Since then, there have been other travel bans, other mistakes globally in managing the global pandemic.

This travel ban, despite evidence of spread of the Omicron variant in countries which selectively closed their borders, had potentially devastating implications for health and well-being on our continent. African scientists were exemplary in continuing to explore and report on the variant. The travel ban, which was not evidence- or science-based, has correctly been described by a group of eminent African scientists as “political theatre”, and the health consequences for Africans, as these authors pointed out, had the potential to be grave, given the economic implications of travel bans. The irony of this is clear: as Africa helped in all likelihood to make the world more healthy, many in the world have helped to make Africans more sick.

What does this mean for South African and African science? Perhaps the saddest (and most obvious) observation is that the kind of reaction we saw is nothing new. We witnessed the reinscription – with potentially grave economic, personal, and health consequences – of dangerous, assumptions about Africa, contagion, dirt and pollution. As our country and continent led the world in science and science communication, we again bore the brunt of the long and ongoing tail of dangerous, colonial, and non-evidence-based views. The view of Africa as a source, not of knowledge, but of pestilence and shame was a feature of much writing about our continent by social scientists and others going back centuries. Despite clear evidence of the contributions of Africa and Africans to science and knowledge, Africa was once again being viewed strongly through the lens of pathology.

In South Africa, much of the focus in issues of transformation of the sciences, especially in the post-#FeesMustFall era, has been on inequality in South Africa itself, and questions of access for people formerly excluded from higher education. This is appropriate and necessary, and theorists have been careful to link current issues to past injustices. The current state of South African higher education is characterized by extreme inequality in access for students. As students have been mobilized to assert their rights, we have seen a new level of scientific engagement which has helped to redress the inequalities that have long marked access to education on our continent. We hope that the special issue will show that considering the subheading ‘How to do social distancing in a shack?’ This focus on interdisciplinary work which takes due account of the conditions under which most people in the world live is not, we suggest, an add-on to global science. We hope that the special issue will show that considering context, placing science within the realities of the majority world, and understanding the global politics of inequality and exclusion, is at the cutting edge of good science. If we are to be decolonial in our scientific practices, we must always be clear on what the shortcomings are of what we do, but we must also be clear that Africa, and African science, need to be evaluated on their own contextual merits. Political theatre is powerful, but it is not science or science policy.
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